

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/711,627	09/29/2004	Meng-Chi Liu	13133-US-PA	5626	
31561	7590 10/04/2006		EXAMINER		
JIANQ CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE			NGUYEN, DUNG T		
7 FLOOR-1, ROOSEVEL	NO. 100 T ROAD, SECTION 2	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
TAIPEI, 100			2871		
TAIWAN			DATE MAILED: 10/04/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-V	<u> </u>	Applicat	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/711,6		LIU ET AL.			
		Examine		Art Unit	T		
		Dung Ng	ıyen	2871			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply		<u> </u>		nddress		
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MINISTRATE IN THE MINISTRATE IN THE MINISTRATE IN THE MONTHS FROM THE MINISTRATE IN THE MONTHS FROM THE MINISTRATE IN THE MONTHS FROM THE MONTHS AND THE MONTHS	IAILING DATE OF TI of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evalunication. atutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION FOR THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on					
/_		2b) \boxtimes This action is	non-final.				
3)							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	- .						
8)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> are subject to restriction	on and/or election re	quirement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a)□ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the	e Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is requi	red if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 (CFR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. N	ote the attached Offi	ce Action or form F	PTO-152.		
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of:		-	(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority						
	2. Certified copies of the priority				-1.04		
	3. Copies of the certified copies			ived in this Nationa	ai Stage		
* 0	application from the Internatio See the attached detailed Office actio	•	` .,	ived			
	see the attached detailed Office action	in for a list of the cen	med copies not recei	veu.			
Attachmen	t(c)						
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summa	erv (PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	l Patent Application			
. 40			-,				

Application/Control Number: 10/711,627 Page 2

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-10, drawn to a liquid crystal display (LCD) panel, classified in class
 349, subclass 155.
- II. Claims 11-14, drawn to a method of fabricating an LCD panel, classified in class349, subclass 187.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product made as in group I can be made by a method that is different from group II.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-2297. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/711,627 Page 4

Art Unit: 2871

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on 571-272-1782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DN 09/28/2006 Dung Nguyen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2871